Addendum: The *Korean Journal of Internal Medicine*’s long road to being listed in the Science Citation Index Expanded

Chul Woo Yang

Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul St. Mary’s Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

I would like to clarify the meaning of a sentence from article entitled “The *Korean Journal of Internal Medicine*’s long road to being listed in the Science Citation Index Expanded (DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.6087/kcse.2014.1.118 ).” Original description was as follows:

**The First Challenge toward Gaining Entry into the SCIE**

The first submission to the SCIE for registration was sent in July 2009 after two years of preparations. At the time, the impact factor (IF) of the KJIM was 0.41, and the journal was ranked 111th out of 133 journals of internal medicine listed on the Web of Science. The result was disappointing; we received a rejection letter from Thomson Reuters in September 2010, a year after submission, stating that the rejection was due to the low IF of the KJIM.

After reading this, Ms. Pippa Smart, editorial board member of *Science Editing* inquired of me why the journal with impact factor 0.41 was not indexed in Web of Science at the time of submission because any scientific journal with impact factor means that it is SCIE journal. I found that it may somewhat confusing because impact factor 0.41 was not impact factor presented in Journal Citation Ranking by Thomson Reuters. The impact factor 0.41 was manually calculated one from Web of Science. Therefore, I would like to add as followings for the clarification of meaning of impact factor 0.41.

The first submission to the SCIE for registration was sent in July 2009 after two years of preparations. At the time, the impact factor (IF) of the KJIM manually calculated from Web of Science was 0.41, and the journal was ranked 111th out of 133 journals of internal medicine listed on the Web of Science.

I appreciate Ms. Pippa Smart who pointed out it for clarification.
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