Crystal Structure of Phosphopantetheine Adenylyltransferase from Enterococcus faecalis in the Ligand-Unbound State and in Complex with ATP and Pantetheine
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Phosphopantetheine adenylyltransferase (PPAT) catalyzes the reversible transfer of an adenylyl group from ATP to 4′-phosphopantetheine (Ppant) to form dephospho-CoA (dPCoA) and pyrophosphate in the Coenzyme A (CoA) biosynthetic pathway. Importantly, PPATs are the potential target for developing antibiotics because bacterial and mammalian PPATs share little sequence homology. Previous structural studies revealed the mechanism of the recognizing substrates and products. The binding modes of ATP, ADP, Ppant, and dPCoA are highly similar in all known structures, whereas the binding modes of CoA or 3′-phosphoadenosine 5′-phosphosulfate binding are novel. To provide further structural information on ligand binding by PPATs, the crystal structure of PPAT from Enterococcus faecalis was solved in three forms: (i) apo form, (ii) binary complex with ATP, and (iii) binary complex with pantetheine. The substrate analog, pantetheine, binds to the active site in a similar manner to Ppant. The new structural information reported in this study including pantetheine as a potent inhibitor of PPAT will supplement the existing structural data and should be useful for structure-based antibacterial discovery against PPATs.

INTRODUCTION

Coenzyme A (CoA) is required in several key reactions in the intermediary metabolism as an essential cofactor (Geerlof et al., 1995). In bacteria, it is synthesized in five steps from pantothenate (vitamin B₅), cysteine, and ATP (Robishaw et al., 1985). The penultimate step in this biosynthetic pathway is catalyzed by phosphopantetheine adenylyl-transferase (PPAT), a member of the nucleotidyltransferase super-family (Bork et al., 1995). This enzyme catalyzes the reversible transfer of an adenylyl group from ATP to 4′-phosphopantetheine (Ppant), yielding dephospho-CoA (dPCoA) and pyrophosphate (Izard and Geerlof, 1999). In contrast to bacteria, PPAT and dephospho-CoA kinase occur as a bifunctional enzyme known as CoA synthase in mammals (Aghajanian and Worrall, 2002). Bacterial PPATs and mammalian PPATs are highly dissimilar in their primary sequences, making the bacterial PPATs an attractive target for antibacterial discovery (Miller et al., 2010). Based on the inhibitor-bound structure of Escherichia coli PPAT, a potent and specific inhibitor with an IC₅₀ of 6 nM against E. coli PPAT but no activity against porcine PPAT, was discovered (Zhao et al., 2003).

The crystal structures of E. coli PPAT in complex with dPCoA (Izard and Geerlof, 1999), ATP (Izard, 2002), Ppant (Izard, 2002), and CoA (Izard, 2003) have been reported. E. coli PPAT is a homohexamer with 32 point group symmetry. Ppant (Izard, 2002) and dPCoA (Izard and Geerlof, 1999) are bound to only one trimeric unit within the hexamer of E. coli PPAT, whereas ATP is bound to both trimeric units of the hexamer (Izard, 2002). In the crystal structure of E. coli PPAT bound with CoA, a feedback regulator, the adenylyl moiety of CoA was bound to a site that did not overlap with the binding site of dPCoA, the product (Izard, 2004). The crystal structure of Staphylococcus aureus PPAT in complex with 3′-phosphoadenosine 5′-phosphosulfate was recently reported, which revealed a new mode of ligand binding to PPAT (Lee et al., 2009). The structures of Mycobacterium tuberculosis PPAT in the apo form (Morris and Izard, 2004), Thermus thermophilus PPAT in complex with ADP (Badger et al., 2005) were also reported. Furthermore, the structures of an archaeal PPAT (Protein Data Bank ID code 3do8; unpublished) and a mammalian bifunctional coenzyme A synthase covering the C-terminal one third of PPAT and the entire dephospho-CoA kinase (PDB ID code 26r; Joint Center for Structural Genomics, unpublished) were solved. Recently, the crystal structures of M. tuberculosis PPAT in complex with Ppant and the nonhydrolyzable ATP analogue [(α, β)-methylene]triphosphate were reported (Wubben and...
The use of vancomycin has continued to expand due to the increasing number of patients infected or colonized with methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*, causing an increase in the prevalence of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (Mazuski, 2008). The resistance in enterococci is a major threat for genetic transfer and the emergence of increasing numbers of vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus* (Mazuski, 2008). *Enterococcus faecalis* is a Gram-positive pathogen that causes many of the same problems as other members of the intestinal flora, which include opportunistic urinary tract infections and wound infections. It can cause life-threatening infections in humans, particularly in a nosocomial environment. To aid in the structure-based discovery of new antibacterial compounds against major human pathogens including *E. faecalis*, detailed structural information on the binding modes of different ligands to the PPAT active site would be useful. In this study, the crystal structure of *E. faecalis* PPAT was determined in three forms, i.e. in the apo form and as binary complexes with ATP or pantetheine, to provide further structural information on ligand binding by PPATs. Until now, no structure of any PPAT in complex with pantetheine has been reported.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protein expression, purification, and crystallization

The overexpression of the recombinant *E. faecalis* PPAT with a C-terminal His$_{6}$-containing tag was previously reported (Kang et al., 2006). In this study, its new crystal form was obtained in the orthorhombic space group, which is different from the previously reported crystal in the tetragonal space group (Kang et al., 2006). The new crystal form is more suitable for a high resolution structure determination than the previous tetragonal crystal form (Kang et al., 2006). The new crystals of the apo enzyme were grown at 24°C by mixing equal volumes (2 μl each) of the protein solution (20 mg ml$^{-1}$ concentration in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and 200 mM NaCl) and the reservoir solution consisting of 3.5 M sodium formate and 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5). The apo crystals grew to approximate dimensions of 0.1 mm × 0.1 mm × 0.1 mm within a few days. Crystals of the ATP- or pantetheine-bound enzyme were grown by soaking the apo crystals in a reservoir solution containing 50 mM ATP (or pantetheine) for 5 min before cryo protection.

Structure determination and refinement

The crystals were frozen using a cryoprotectant solution containing 25% (v/v) glycerol in the crystallization mother liquor. X-ray diffraction data of the apo form and the ATP-complex were collected at 100 K on an Area Detector Systems Corporation Quantum 4R CCD detector at the experimental station BL-17A of the Photon Factory, Japan. Data of the pantetheine-bound crystal were collected at 100 K on an Area Detector Systems Corporation Quantum 315 CCD detector at the experimental station BL-6A of the Photon Factory, Japan. For each image, the crystal was rotated by 1°. The raw data were processed and scaled using the HKL-2000 program suite (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). Table 1 summarizes the statistics of data collection. The apo-form crystal belongs to the space group P2$_{1}$2$_{1}$2$_{1}$, with unit cell parameters of $a = 110.20$ Å, $b = 125.68$ Å, and $c = 125.82$ Å (Table 1). Six monomers are present in the asymmetric unit, giving a crystal volume per protein mass ($V_{c}$) of 3.68 Å$^{3}$ Da$^{-1}$ and a solvent content of 67%, respectively.

The apo structure of *E. faecalis* PPAT was solved by the molecular replacement method using the hexamer model of *T. maritima* PPAT (PDB ID: 1vh; Joint Center for Structural Geonomics, unpublished). A cross-rotational search followed by a translational search was performed using the CNS program (Brünger, 1997; Brünger et al., 1998). Subsequent manual model building was carried out using the O program (Jones et al., 1991). The model was refined by minimizing the maximum-likelihood target function on amplitudes using the CNS program (Brünger, 1997; Brünger et al., 1998), including the bulk solvent correction. Several rounds of model building, simulated annealing, positional refinement, and individual B-factor refinement were performed. This apo model was used to refine the ATP- and pantetheine-bound structures. The stereochemistry of the refined models was evaluated using the MolProbity program (Davis et al., 2007). Table 1 lists the refinement statistics.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Model quality and structural comparisons

The structure of *E. faecalis* PPAT in three forms was determined: (i) the apo form at 2.3 Å resolution, (ii) a binary complex with ATP at 2.3 Å resolution, and (iii) a binary complex with pantetheine at 2.4 Å resolution. The refined models gave $R_{work}/R_{free}$ values of 19.6/24.9% for 20-2.30 Å, 20.6/24.5% for 20-2.30 Å, and 21.3/26.2% for 20-2.40 Å data, respectively, for the apo, ATP-bound, and pantetheine-bound forms (Table 1). The refined models of the apo, ATP-bound, and pantetheine-bound PPAT account for residues 1-38 and 45-158 in each of the six monomers in an asymmetric unit. The C-terminal residues (Lys159-Ser163) and C-terminal fusion tag (LEHHHHHHH) of the recombinant enzyme are disordered in all six monomers of the three models. All the non-glycine residues are in the most favored and allowed regions of the Ramachandran plot for the three models (Table 1).

Six monomers of *E. faecalis* PPAT in the asymmetric unit are almost identical to each other. When monomer A was compared with the other monomers, the r.m.s. deviations averaged over the five monomers B-F were 0.7 Å, 0.7 Å, and 0.8 Å for 158 C$_{α}$ atom pairs for the apo, ATP-bound, and pantetheine-bound structures, respectively. When monomer A of the apo model was overlapped with monomer A of the ATP- and pantetheine-complex models, the r.m.s. deviations were 0.48 Å and 0.40 Å for 152 C$_{α}$ atoms, respectively. When monomer A of the ATP-complex model was compared with monomer A of the pantetheine-complex model, the r.m.s. deviation was 0.63 Å for 152 C$_{α}$ atoms. This suggests that all three structures of *E. faecalis* PPAT are similar to each other. When six monomers of the apo model were overlapped with those of the ATP- and pantetheine-complex models, the r.m.s. deviations were 0.72 Å and 0.47 Å for 912 C$_{α}$ atoms, respectively. The r.m.s. deviation was 0.81 Å for 912 C$_{α}$ atoms when six monomers of the ATP-complex model were compared with those of the pantetheine-complex model. This indicates that there is no significant change in the oligomeric structure of *E. faecalis* PPAT upon ligand binding.

Overall monomer and hexamer structures

A monomer of *E. faecalis* PPAT adopts the dinucleotide-binding fold (or the canonical Rossmann fold) (Rossmann et al., 1975). The core contains a five-stranded parallel β-sheet, arranged in the order, [β3-2]-[β1-4]-β5, which is packed on one side by five α-helices (α1, α2, α5, α6, and α7) and on the other side by two α-helices (α3 and α4) (Fig. 1A). *E. faecalis* PPAT is hexameric and displays 32 symmetry (Fig. 1B). In the ligand-bound structures of *E. faecalis* PPAT, all six subunits are bound with the ligand (Fig. 1B). This is similar to *T. thermophilus* PPAT in complex with Pant (Takahashi et al., 2004). *B. sub-
Table 1. Statistics for data collection and refinement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>Data collection statistics</th>
<th>Apo</th>
<th>ATP</th>
<th>Pantetheine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-ray source</td>
<td>PF BL-17A</td>
<td>PF BL-17A</td>
<td>PF BL-5A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>(P2_12_12_1)</td>
<td>(P2_12_12_1)</td>
<td>(P2_12_12_1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) (Å)</td>
<td>110.20</td>
<td>112.79</td>
<td>109.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) (Å)</td>
<td>125.68</td>
<td>123.91</td>
<td>125.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) (Å)</td>
<td>125.82</td>
<td>124.23</td>
<td>125.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>20-2.30</td>
<td>20-2.30</td>
<td>20-2.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total / unique reflections</td>
<td>366,306/76,265</td>
<td>534,418/76,960</td>
<td>438,106/68,248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>98.3 (98.5)(^a)</td>
<td>98.9 (97.9)(^a)</td>
<td>99.5 (96.4)(^a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (I/\sigma(I))</td>
<td>14.1 (4.1)(^a)</td>
<td>17.7 (10.9)(^a)</td>
<td>10.3 (3.7)(^a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{merge}) (%)</td>
<td>8.3 (38.3)(^a)</td>
<td>6.5 (23.2)(^a)</td>
<td>9.4 (37.2)(^a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Model refinement statistics

| | R\(_{work}\)/R\(_{free}\) (%) | 19.6/24.9 | 20.6/24.5 | 21.3/26.2 |
| Protein nonhydrogen atoms | 6 \times 1,226/42.8 | 6 \times 1,226/44.2 | 6 \times 1,226/47.8 |
| Water oxygen atoms | 534/43.5 | 416/41.9 | 254/42.4 |
| Ligand molecules | None | 6 \times ATP / 40.7 | 6 \times pantetheine / 56.5 |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.007 |
| Bond angles (°) | 1.23 | 1.19 | 1.04 |

MolProbity protein-geometry analysis

| | Ramachandran favored (%) | 97.1 (862/888) | 96.4 (856/888) | 97.5 (866/888) |
| | Ramachandran allowed (%) | 2.9 (26/888) | 3.6 (32/888) | 2.5 (22/888) |
| | Ramachandran outliers (%) | 0.0 (0/888) | 0.0 (0/888) | 0.0 (0/888) |
| MolProbity score | 2.58 | 2.68 | 2.67 |

\(^a\)Values in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell (apo, 2.38-2.30 Å; ATP, 2.38-2.30 Å; pantetheine, 2.49-2.40 Å, respectively).

\(^b\)\(R_{merge} = \frac{\sum_{hkl} \sum_i |I_{hkl}(i) - \langle I_{hkl}\rangle|}{\sum_{hkl} \sum_i I_{hkl}(i)}\), where \(I_{hkl}(i)\) is the intensity of reflection \(hkl\), \(\langle I_{hkl}\rangle\) is the sum over all reflections, and \(\sum_i\) is the sum over \(i\) measurements of reflection \(hkl\).

\(^c\)\(R = \frac{\sum_{hkl} |F_{obs}(hkl) - |F_{calc}(hkl)| |}{\sum_{hkl} |F_{obs}(hkl)|}\), where \(R_{free}\) was calculated for a randomly chosen 10% of reflections, which were not used for structure refinement and \(R_{work}\) was calculated for the remaining.

Fig. 1. Monomer and hexamer structures of E. faecalis PPAT. (A) Stereo ribbon diagram of the monomer (apo) in stereo. The \(\alpha\)-helices, \(\beta\)-strands, and loops are colored in cyan or yellow, and pink, respectively. This composite figure was produced by incorporating ATP and pantetheine into the apo structure. ATP and pantetheine (colored in red and magenta, respectively) bound in the active site are shown in stick models. (B) Ribbon diagram of the hexamer (ATP complex). ATP (colored in red) bound in the active site is shown as a stick model.

 Binding modes of ATP and pantetheine

In the ligand-complexed structures of E. faecalis PPAT, ATP or...
pantheine is clearly defined by the electron density and is bound in the active site of all six chains of the homohexamer (Fig. 3). In the ATP-bound structure (chain A) of *E. faecalis* PPAT, His18 (nitrogen atom), Gly90 (oxygen and nitrogen atoms), Glu100 (oxygen atom), Tyr125 (oxygen atom), Val128 (oxygen atom), Ser130 (nitrogen atom), and Ser131 (oxygen atom) make 8 hydrogen bonds with oxygen or nitrogen atoms of ATP (Fig. 3A). The binding mode of ATP in the *E. faecalis* PPAT structure is highly similar to that in the ATP-bound structure of *E. coli* PPAT (Izard, 2002), despite the absence of the
electron density of a catalytic metal ion (Mn²⁺) around the phosphates of ATP in E. faecalis PPAT (Fig. 4A). The substrate analog, pantetheine, is bound to E. faecalis PPAT in a similar manner to that in the Ppant complex structures of E. coli PPAT (PDB ID: 1JQC, Izard, 2002), T. thermophilus PPAT (1D6; Takahashi et al., 2004), and T. maritima PPAT (1VLH; Joint Center for Structural Genomics, unpublished) (Fig. 4B). In the pantetheine-bound structure of E. faecalis PPAT, Tyr99 (oxygen atom) and Thr75 (oxygen and nitrogen atoms) make one and three hydrogen bonds with oxygen atoms of pantetheine, respectively (Fig. 3B). The same oxygen atoms (make hydrogen bonds with Thr75 in E. faecalis PPAT) of Ppant make van der Waals interactions with the side chains of Met74 in E. coli PPAT, Leu74 in T. thermophilus PPAT, and Leu72 in T. maritima PPAT. Overall, pantetheine has a similar conformation to Ppant and binds to the active site in a similar manner to Ppant even though pantetheine lacks the phosphate group attached to the pantetheine moiety. This study provides additional structural information on ligand binding by E. faecalis PPAT for the structure-based design of PPAT inhibitors mimicking Ppant as a potential antibacterial agent.
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